arXiv:1304.3471v1 [math.FA] 11 Apr 2013

UNIFORMLY FACTORING WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

KEVIN BEANLAND AND DANIEL FREEMAN

Abstract. Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces. Suppose Y either has a shrinking basis or Y is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of weakly compact operators from X to Y which is analytic in the strong operator topology. We prove that there is a reflexive space with a basis Z such that every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through Z. Likewise, we prove that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is a set of operators whose adjoints have separable range and is analytic in the strong operator topology then there is a Banach space Z with separable dual such that every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through Z. Finally we prove a uniformly version of this result in which we allow the domain and range spaces to vary.

1. Introduction

Recall that if X and Y are Banach spaces then a bounded operator $T : X \to Y$ is called *weakly compact* if $\overline{T(B_X)}$ is weakly compact, where B_X is the unit ball of X. If there exists a reflexive Banach space Z and bounded operators $T_1 : X \to Z$ and $T_2 : Z \to Y$ with $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ then T_1 and T_2 are both weakly compact by Alaoglu's theorem and hence $T : X \to Y$ is weakly compact as well. Thus it is immediate that any bounded operator which factors through a reflexive Banach space is weakly compact. In their seminal 1974 paper [11], Davis, Figiel, Johnson and Pełczyński proved that the converse is true as well. That is, every weakly compact operator factors through a reflexive Banach space. Likewise, every bounded operator whose adjoint has separable range factors through a Banach space with separable dual. Using the DFJP interpolation technique, in 1988 Zippin proved that every separable reflexive Banach space embeds into a reflexive Banach space with a basis and that every Banach space with separable dual embeds into a Banach space with a shrinking basis [30].

For each separable reflexive Banach space X we may choose a reflexive Banach Z with a basis such that X embeds into Z. It is natural to consider when the choice of Z can be done uniformly. That is, given a set of separable reflexive Banach spaces $\mathcal{A}$, when does there exist a reflexive Banach space Z with a basis such that X embeds into Z for every $X \in \mathcal{A}$? Szlenk proved that there does not exist a Banach space Z with separable dual such that every separable reflexive Banach

---

The first author acknowledges support from the Fulbright Foundation - Greece and the Fulbright program.

The second author is supported by NSF Award 1139143.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46B28; Secondary: 03E15.

space embeds into Z [29]. Bourgain proved further that if Z is a separable Banach space such that every separable reflexive Banach space embeds into Z then every separable Banach space embeds into Z [9]. Thus, any uniform embedding theorem must consider strict subsets of the set of separable reflexive Banach spaces. In his Phd thesis, Bossard developed a framework for studying sets of Banach spaces using descriptive set theory [8, 7]. In this context, it was shown in [14] and [28] that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an analytic set of separable reflexive Banach spaces then there exists a separable reflexive Banach space Z such that X embeds into Z for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$, and in [14] and [16] it was shown that if A is an analytic set of Banach spaces with separable dual then there exists a Banach space Z with separable dual such that X embeds into Z for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, solving an open problem posed by Bourgain [9], there exists a separable reflexive Banach space Z such that every separable uniformly convex Banach space embeds into Z [27]. As the set of all Banach spaces which embed into a fixed Banach space is analytic in the Bossard framework, these uniform embedding theorems are optimal.

The goal for this paper is to return to the original operator factorization problem with the same uniform perspective that was applied to the embedding problems. That is, given separable Banach spaces X and Y and a set of weakly compact operators $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, we want to know when does there exist a reflexive Banach space Z such that T factors through Z for all $T \in \mathcal{A}$. We are able to answer this question in the following cases.

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of weakly compact operators from X to Y which is analytic in the strong operator topology. Suppose either Y has a shrinking basis or Y is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$. Then there is a reflexive Banach space Z with a basis such that every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through Z.*

Theorem 2. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ be a set of bounded operators whose adjoints have separable range which is analytic in the strong operator topology. Then there is a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis such that every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through Z.*

The idea of factoring all operators in a set through a single Banach space has been considered previously for compact operators and compact sets of weakly compact operators [3, 18, 26]. In particular, Johnson constructed a reflexive Banach space Z_K such that if X and Y are Banach spaces and either X^* or Y has the approximation property then every compact operator $T : X \to Y$ factors through Z_K [20]. Later, Figiel showed that if X and Y are Banach spaces and $T : X \to Y$ is a compact operator, then T factors through a subspace of Z_K [15]. It is particularly interesting that the space Z_K is independent of the Banach spaces X and Y. In [10], Brooker proves that for every countable ordinal α, if X and Y are separable Banach spaces and $T : X \to Y$ is a bounded operator with Szlenk index at most ω^α then T factors through a Banach space with separable dual and Szlenk index at most $\omega^{\alpha+1}$. This result, combined with the embedding result in [16] gives that for every countable ordinal α, there exists a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis such that every bounded operator with Szlenk index at most ω^α factors through a subspace of Z. In section 4 we present generalizations of Theorems 1 and 2 where the Banach space X is allowed to vary.

The authors thank Pandelis Dodos for his suggestions and helpful ideas about the paper. Much of this work was conducted at the National Technical University of Athens in Greece during the spring of 2011. The first author would like to thank Spiros Argyros for his hospitality and for providing an excellent research environment during this period.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A topological space P is called a *Polish* space if it is separable and completely metrizable. A set X, together with a σ-algebra Σ, is called a *standard Borel space* if the measurable space (X,Σ) is Borel isomorphic to a Polish space. A subset $A\subset X$ is said to be *analytic* if there exists a Polish space P and a Borel map $f:P\to X$ with $f(P)=A$. A subset of X is said to be *coanalytic* if its complement is analytic.

Given some Polish space X, we will be studying sets of closed subspaces of X. Thus, from a descriptive set theory point of view, it is natural to assign a σ-algebra to the set of closed subsets of X which then forms a standard Borel space. Let $F(X)$ denote the set of closed subspaces of X. The *Effros-Borel σ-algebra*, $E(X)$, is defined as the collection of sets with the following generator

$$\big\{\{F\in F(X): F\cap U\neq\emptyset\}: U\subset X \text{ is open}\big\}.$$

The measurable space $(F(X),E(X))$ is a standard Borel space. If X is a Banach space, then $Subs(X)$ denotes the standard Borel space consisting of the closed subspaces of X endowed with the relative Effros-Borel σ-algebra. As every separable Banach space is isometric to a subspace of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$, the standard Borel space $SB=Subs(C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is of particular importance when studying sets of separable Banach spaces.

If X and Y are separable Banach spaces, then the space $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ of all bounded linear operators from X to Y carries a natural structure as a standard Borel space whose Borel sets coincide with the Borel sets generated by the strong operator topology (i.e. the topology of pointwise convergence on nets). In this paper when we refer to a Borel subset of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ it is understood that this is with respect to the Borel σ-algebra generated by the strong operator topology. There are several papers in which $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is considered with this structure [4, 5, 6].

Both the set of all separable reflexive Banach spaces and the set of all Banach spaces with separable dual are coanalytic subsets of SB. This fact is essential in the proofs of the universal embedding theorems for analytic sets of separable reflexive Banach spaces and analytic sets of Banach spaces with separable dual [14],[16],[28].

Thus, we will naturally need the following theorem to prove our universal factorization results for analytic sets of weakly compact operators and analytic sets of operators whose adjoints have separable range.

Proposition 3. *For $X, Y \in SB$ the following are coanalytic subsets of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.*

(a) *The set of weakly compact operators.*
(b) *The set of operators whose adjoints have separable range (these operators are called Asplund operators).*

Before proving Proposition 3, we will need to introduce some more results from descriptive set theory. Given a Polish space E, let $K(E)$ be the space of all compact subset of E. The space $K(E)$ is Polish when equipped with the *Vietoris* topology, which is the topology on $K(E)$ generated by the sets

$$\big\{\{K \in K(X) : K \cap U \neq \emptyset\} : U \subset X \text{ is open}\big\} \text{ and } \big\{\{K \in K(X) : K \subseteq U\} : U \subset X \text{ is open}\big\}.$$

When studying sequences in the unit ball of a separable Banach space X, we note that the the space $B_X^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a Polish space when endowed with the product topology. We will always consider B_{ℓ_∞}, the ball of ℓ_∞, to be equipped with the weak* topology. In [7] Bossard proves the following Theorem.

Theorem 4 ([7])**.** *The set*

$$\Sigma = \{K \in K(B_{\ell_\infty}) : K \text{ is norm-separable}\}$$

is coanalytic in the Vietoris topology of $K(B_{\ell_\infty})$.

We will show that for all $X, Y \in SB$ the set of operators whose adjoints have separable range is coanalytic in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ by showing that the set is Borel reducible to $\Sigma \subset K(B_{\ell_\infty})$. To do this, we will define a map $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to K(B_{\ell_\infty})$, and use the following theorem to show that it is Borel.

Theorem 5. [22, Theorem 28.8] *Let X and Y be Polish spaces and $A \subset Y \times X$ be such that for each $y \in Y$ the set $A_y = \{x \in X : (y,x) \in A\}$ is compact. Consider the map $\Phi_A : Y \to K(X)$ defined by $\Phi_A(y) = A_y$. Then A is Borel if and only if Φ_A is a Borel map.*

By the Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski selection theorem [23] we can find a sequence of Borel maps $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $s_n : F(C(2^{\mathbb{N}})) \to C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(s_n(E))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is dense in E. In addition, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $d_n : SB \to C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ be a Borel map such that $(d_n(X))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense in B_X for all $X \in SB$ and for $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $qd_m(X) + pd_k(X) \in B_X$ then there is an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d_\ell(X) = qd_m(X) + pd_k(X)$. We will also assume that $d_n(X) \neq 0$ for all $X \in SB$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Working with the sequences (s_n) and (d_n) will be easier for us than dealing with the Efros-Borel σ-algebra or Vietoris topology directly.

Proof of Proposition 3. Item (a) is proved in [6, Proposition 9] and follows from the fact that weakly compact operators are exactly those operators that take bounded sequences in X to sequences that do not dominate the summing basis of c_0.

The proof of (b) requires a bit more effort, but it follows the same outline as the proof that the collection of all spaces with separable dual (SD) is coanalytic [8]. Let $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ denote the collection of operators in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ whose adjoints have separable range. For $T \in \mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ and $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ Let

$$f_{T^*y^*} = \left(\frac{T^*y^*(d_i(X))}{\|d_i(X)\|} \right)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in B_{\ell_\infty}.$$

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ let $K_T = \{f_{T^*y^*} : y^* \in B_{Y^*}\}$. Notice that K_T can be identified with $T^*(B_{Y^*})$ via the homeomorphism $T^*(y^*) \mapsto f_{T^*y^*}$. Here $T^*(B_{Y^*})$ is endowed with the weak* topology. So, K_T is compact in B_{ℓ_∞} with the weak* topology. Define $D_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ as follows

$$(T,f) \in D_{\mathcal{L}} \iff f \in K_T.$$

Using the following characterization, the set $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ is Borel.

$$\begin{aligned}(T,f) \in D_{\mathcal{L}} \iff & \forall n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}\ \forall q,p \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ we have} \\ & (pTd_n(X) + qTd_m(X) = Td_k(X) \implies \\ & p\|d_n(X)\|f(n) + q\|d_m(X)\|f(m) = \|d_k(X)\|f(k)).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for each $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ the set $D_T = \{f : (T,f) \in D_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ is equal to K_T and is therefore compact. Applying Theorem 5, $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to K(B_{\ell_\infty})$ defined by $\Phi(T) = K_T$ is a Borel map. Finally, note that

$$T \in \mathcal{A}(X,Y) \iff \Phi(T) = K_T \in \Sigma = \{K \in K(B_{\ell_\infty}) : K \text{ is norm-separable}\}.$$

Using Proposition 4 we have that $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ is Borel reducible to a coanalytic set and is hence itself coanalytic. □

Concerning reflexive Banach spaces with bases as well as Banach spaces with bases and separable dual, Argyros and Dodos [2] proved the following deep theorem.

Theorem 6 ([2]). *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset SB$ be an analytic collection of reflexive Banach spaces (resp. Banach spaces with separable dual) such that each $X \in \mathcal{A}$ has a basis. Then there is a reflexive Banach space $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ (resp. Banach space with separable dual) with a basis that contains every $X \in \mathcal{A}$ as a complemented subspace.*

Although it is possible for us to apply Theorem 6 as a black box, we give some brief description here about how the space $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ is constructed. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset SB$ be an analytic collection of reflexive spaces. Since the map from $S_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to SB given by $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto [x_n]_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel and the set of basic sequences in a Banach space is Borel, we obtain an analytic set $\mathcal{B}$ of basic sequences in $S_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ such that for every reflexive Banach space $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $(x_n) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that (x_n) is a basis for X and for every $(x_n) \in \mathcal{B}$ we have that $[x_n] \in \mathcal{A}$. Instead of working with an analytic collection of Banach spaces $\mathcal{A}$, we can now work with an analytic collection of basic sequences $\mathcal{B}$. Argyros and Dodos, then give a procedure to amalgamate $\mathcal{B}$ into a tree basis $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Tr}$, where Tr is a finitely branching tree. That is, they construct $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Tr}\subset S_{C(2^\mathbb{N})}$ such that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Tr}\subset S_{C(2^\mathbb{N})}$ is a basic sequence under any ordering which preserves the tree order, $[x_\alpha]$ is reflexive, and every $(x_n)\in\mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to a branch of $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in Tr}$. Furthermore, if $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a branch of Tr then the restriction operator $P:[x_\alpha]_{\alpha\in Tr}\to[x_{\alpha_n}]_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ given by $P(\sum a_\alpha x_\alpha)=\sum a_{\alpha_n}x_{\alpha_n}$ is a bounded projection. Thus, Theorem 6 follows from the following results.

Theorem 7 ([2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}\subset C(2^\mathbb{N})^\mathbb{N}$ be an analytic collection of normalized shrinking and boundedly complete basic sequences. There is a reflexive Banach space Z with a basis (z_n) such that if $(x_n)\in\mathcal{A}$ then there exists a subsequence (k_n) of $\mathbb{N}$ such that (x_n) is equivalent to (z_{k_n}) and $[z_{k_n}]$ is complemented in Z.*

Theorem 8 ([2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}\subset C(2^\mathbb{N})^\mathbb{N}$ be an analytic collection of normalized shrinking basic sequences. There is a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis (z_n) such that if $(x_n)\in\mathcal{A}$ then there exists a subsequence (k_n) of $\mathbb{N}$ such that (x_n) is equivalent to (z_{k_n}) and $[z_{k_n}]$ is complemented in Z.*

Given an analytic collection $\mathcal{A}$ of weakly compact operators, our goal is to obtain an analytic collection $\mathcal{B}$ of normalized shrinking and boundedly complete basic sequences such that for every $T\in\mathcal{A}$, there exists $(x_n)\in\mathcal{B}$ such that T factors through $[x_n]$. We then are able to apply Theorem 7 and obtain a separable reflexive Banach space Z such that every $T\in\mathcal{A}$ factors through a complemented subspace of Z. Hence, every $T\in\mathcal{A}$ factors through Z itself. This idea of creating a complementably universal Banach space Z inorder to lift operators defined on an analytic collection of Banach spaces with bases was used by Dodos in [13], where he characterizes for what sets of separable Banach spaces $\mathcal{C}$ does there exist a separable Banach space Z such that ℓ_1 does not embed into Z and every $X\in\mathcal{C}$ is a quotient of Z.

3. Parametrized Factorization

Notation 1. In the rest of the paper we set the following notation.

(a) X denotes a separable Banach space and Y denotes a Banach space with a Schauder basis.

(b) Let $T\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. Denote by $(y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a basis of Y that depends on T and for $k\in\mathbb{N}$, let $P_k^T:Y\to[y_n^T:n\leqslant k]$ be the natural projection.

(c) Let $y_0^T=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{2^n}y_n^T$ and $E_T:=\overline{co(T(B_X)\cup\{y_0^T\})}$.

(d) Define

$$W_T=\overline{\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}P_k^T(E_T)}.$$

Note that W_T is closed, bounded, convex and symmetric. Also, $P_k^T(W_T)\subset W_T$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

(e) Let $W \subset Y$ be closed, convex, bounded and symmetric and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$W^m := 2^m W + 2^{-m} B_Y.$$

(f) Let $\| \cdot \|_{W^m}$ denote the Minkowski gauge norm of the set W^m. That is,

$$\|y\|_{W^m} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{y}{\lambda} \in W^m\}.$$

(g) Let

$$Z_T = \{z \in Y : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|z\|^2_{W_T^m} < \infty\} \text{ and } \|z\|_T = \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|z\|^2_{W_T^m} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following items are proved in [11]. The reader may also want to consult [12, Appendix B] for a nice treatment of this material.

Theorem 9 ([11])**.** *The following hold.*

(a) *There exist $T_1 : X \to Z_T$ and $T_2 : Z_T \to Y$ such that $T = T_2 T_1$; in other words, T factors through Z_T. Furthermore, T_2 is constructed to be one-to-one.*

(b) *$y_n^T \in \text{span} W_T$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z_n^T = T_2^{-1}(y_n^T)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (this is well defined as T_2 is one-to-one). The sequence $(z_n^T)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a (not normalized) basis for Z_T.*

(c) *The space Z_T is reflexive if and only if W_T is weakly compact.*

(d) *If T is weakly compact and (y_n^T) shrinking then W_T weakly compact.*

We sketch the proof of (b). Note that $y_0 \in W_T$ and $P_1^T(y_0) = \frac{1}{2} y_1$. Hence $y_1 \in \text{span} W_T$ because $P_1^T(W_T) \subset W_T$. Also, for $n > 1$, $(P_n^T - P_{n-1}^T) y_0 = \frac{1}{2^n} y_n^T \in W_T - W_T$. Thus $y_n \in \text{span} W_T$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The next remark follows directly from the definition of the basis (see Theorem 9(b)).

Remark 10. A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ is 1-equivalent to the basis $(z_n^T)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Z_T if and only if for each $(a_n)_n \in c_{00}$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n^T \|^2_{W_T^m} = \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \|^2$$

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be Borel and suppose the map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel. Then the following hold:*

(a) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto y_0^T \in Y$ is Borel.*

(b) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto E_T \in F(Y)$ is Borel.*

(c) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto W_T \in F(Y)$ is Borel. Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto W_T^m \in F(Y)$ is Borel.*

(d) *The map $\mathcal{B} \times Y \ni (T, y) \mapsto \|y\|_{W_T^m}$ is Borel.*

The proof of Lemma 11 will rely on the following tool from Descriptive Set Theory.

Fact 12. *Suppose E is a standard Borel space, P is a Polish space and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n : E \to P$ is a Borel map. Then the map $\Phi : E \to F(P)$ defined by $\Phi(x) = \overline{\{f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ for all $x \in E$ is Borel.*

Proof of Lemma 11(a). Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be dense in B_X. Define $\tau : \mathcal{B} \to Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $p : Y^{\mathbb{N}} \to Y$ by

$$\tau(T) = (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \ \forall T \in \mathcal{B} \qquad \text{and} \qquad p((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} x_n \ \forall (x_n) \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

By assumption τ is Borel and it is easy to see that p continuous. Therefore $p \circ \tau$ is Borel. This proves the claim, as $p \circ \tau(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} y_n^T = y_0^T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}$. □

Proof of Lemma 11(b). Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be dense in B_X and let U be a non-empty open subset of Y. Notice that

$$E_T \cap U \neq \emptyset \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N},\ q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] \text{ with}$$
$$q_1 + q_2 = 1,\ q_1(Tx_n) + q_2(y_0^T) \in U.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ we define $\tau_{n,q} : \mathcal{B} \to Y^2$ and $p : Y^2 \to Y$ by

$$\tau_{n,q}(T) = (qTx_n, (1-q)y_0^T) \text{ and } p((z_1, z_2)) = z_1 + z_2.$$

Using (a) and the definition of strong operator topology, the map $\tau_{n,q}$ is Borel. The map p is continuous, and hence $p \circ \tau_{n,q}$ is Borel. The set $\{p \circ \tau_{n,q}(T) : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]\}$ is dense in E_T. Hence, the map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto E_T \in F(Y)$ is Borel by Fact 12. □

Proof of Lemma 11(c). Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be dense in B_X and let U be a non-empty open subset of Y. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ we define the map $f_{n,k,q} : \mathcal{B} \to Y$ by

$$f_{n,k,q}(T) = P_k(qTx_n + (1-q)y_0^T).$$

Using the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 11(b), we have that $f_{n,k,q}$ is a Borel map. The set $\{f_{n,k,q}(T) : n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]\}$ is dense in W_T. Hence, the map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto W_T \in F(Y)$ is Borel by Fact 12. The same argument gives that the map $\mathcal{B} \ni T \to W_T^m \in F(Y)$ is Borel for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proof of Lemma 11(d). Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r > 0$ and notice that for $(W, y) \in F(Y) \times Y$

$$\|y\|_W < r \iff \exists q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } 0 < q < r \text{ and } y \in qW.$$

Thus, the map $F(Y) \times Y \ni (W, y) \mapsto \|y\|_W$ is Borel as qW is closed. The map $(T, y) \mapsto (W_T^m, y)$ is Borel by part (c). Hence, the map $(T, y) \mapsto \|y\|_{W_T^m}$ is Borel. □

Lemma 13. *Let* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ *be a Borel set and suppose that the map* $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is Borel. Then the following set*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(T,(x_n)) \in \mathcal{B} \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}} : (z_n^T) \text{ is 1-equivalent to } (x_n)\}$$

is Borel in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y) \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$*.*

Proof. For $k,p,N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = (a_1,...,a_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k$, we let

$$A_{k,N,a} = \left\{(T,(x_n)) \in \mathcal{F} : \sum_{1\le m\le N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n y_n^T \right\|_{W_T^m}^2 \le \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n x_n \right\|^2 \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$B_{k,p,N,a} = \left\{(T,(x_n)) \in \mathcal{F} : \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n x_n \right\|^2 - \frac{1}{p} \le \sum_{1\le m\le M} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n y_n^T \right\|_{W_T^m}^2 \right\}.$$

The sets $A_{k,N,a}, B_{k,p,N,a} \subset \mathcal{B} \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ are Borel as the maps $T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(T,y) \mapsto \|y\|_{W_T^m}$ are Borel. By Remark 10, we have that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{k,N\in\mathbb{N};a\in\mathbb{Q}} A_{k,N,a} \cap \bigcap_{k,p\in\mathbb{N};a\in\mathbb{Q}} \bigcup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} B_{k,p,N,a}$, and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is Borel.

□

The next proposition is our main tool for proving Theorems 1 and 2.

Proposition 14. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ *is a Borel collection of weakly compact operators (resp. operators whose adjoints have separable range), the map* $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is Borel and for each* $T \in \mathcal{B}$ *and the space* Z_T *is reflexive (resp. has separable dual) with basis* (z_n^T)*. Then there is a reflexive space (resp. space with separable dual) with a basis* $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ *such that each* $T \in \mathcal{B}$ *factors through* $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$*.*

Proof. We prove the weakly compact case. The case of operators whose adjoints have separable range is analogous. By Lemma 13, the set

$$\{(T,(x_n)) \in \mathcal{B} \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}} : (z_n^T) \text{ is 1-equivalent to } (x_n)\}$$

is Borel in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y) \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Hence, the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{(x_n) \in C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}} : \exists T \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } (z_n^T) \text{ is 1-equivalent to } (x_n)\}$$

is analytic in $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$. By Theorem 7 there is a reflexive space $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that if $(z_n^T) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the space Z_T is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$. That is, there exists an embedding $I_T : Z_T \to Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ and a bounded projection $P_T : Z_{\mathcal{B}} \to I_T(Z_T)$. Given the factorization $T_1 : X \to Z_T$ and $T_2 : Z_T \to Y$ with $T = T_2T_1$, we now have the factorization $I_TT_1 : X \to Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $T_2I_T^{-1}P_T : Z_{\mathcal{B}} \to Y$ with $T = T_2I_T^{-1}P_TI_TT_1$. Thus, each $T \in \mathcal{B}$ factors through $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$. □

Theorem 15. *Suppose* Y *has a shrinking basis and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ *is an analytic collection of weakly compact operators. Then there is a reflexive space with a basis* $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ *such that each* $T \in \mathcal{A}$ *factors through* $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$*.*

Proof. By Proposition 3 the collection of all weakly compact operators from X to Y (for separable X and Y) is coanalytic. Using Lusin's separation theorem [22, Theorem 28.1] there is a Borel set $\mathcal{B}$ of weakly compact operators such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Let (y_n) be a shrinking basis for Y. For each $T \in \mathcal{B}$, set $y_n^T = y_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel, as it is constant. Using Theorem 9, for each $T \in \mathcal{B}$ the space Z_T is reflexive and has a basis $(z_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We apply Proposition 14 to finish the proof. ☐

Next we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 for $Y = C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$. We will use the method of slicing and selection developed by Ghoussoub, Maurey and Schachermayer [17]. This method was used to give alternate proofs of Zippin's theorems that every reflexive separable Banach space embeds into a reflexive Banach space with a basis and every Banach space with separable dual embeds into a Banach space with a shrinking basis. Dodos and Ferenczi [14] showed that it is possible to parametrize this slicing and selection procedure. We will use their parametrized selection in our proof. They proved that given an analytic collection $\mathcal{A}$ of separable reflexive Banach space (respectively Banach spaces with separable dual), there exists an analytic collection $\mathcal{A}'$ of separable reflexive Banach spaces with bases (respectively Banach spaces with shrinking bases) such that for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $Z \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that X embeds into Z. Before proceeding to the proof, we must introduce several notions involved in the slicing and selection procedure.

Let E be a compact metric space. A map $\Delta : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *fragmentation* if for every closed subset K of E and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open subset V of E with $K \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and such that $\sup\{\Delta(x, y) : x, y \in K \cap V\} \leqslant \varepsilon$. Recall that $K(E)$ is the space of all compact subsets of E. In [17] they prove the following.

Theorem 16 ([17]). *Let E be a compact metric space and Δ be a fragmentation on E. Then there is a function $s_\Delta : K(E) \to E$ called a* dessert selection *satisfying the following:*

(i) *For every non-empty $K \in K(E)$, we have $s_\Delta(K) \in K$.*
(ii) *If $K \subset C$ are in $K(E)$ and $s_\Delta(C) \in K$, then $s_\Delta(K) = s_\Delta(C)$.*
(iii) *If (K_m) are descending in $K(E)$ and $K = \cap_m K_m$, then*

$$\lim_m \Delta(s_\Delta(K_m), s_\Delta(K)) = 0.$$

Definition 17. *Let Z be a standard Borel space. A* parametrized Borel fragmentation *on E is a map $\mathcal{D} : Z \times E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $z \in Z$, setting $\mathcal{D}_z(\cdot,\cdot) := \mathcal{D}(z,\cdot,\cdot)$ the following are satisfied.*

(1) *For $z \in Z$, the map $\mathcal{D}_z : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ is a fragmentation on E.*
(2) *The map $\mathcal{D}$ is Borel.*

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a parametrized Borel fragmentation on a compact metric space E with respect to some standard Borel space Z. Define $s_{\mathcal{D}} : Z \times K(E) \to E$ by $s_{\mathcal{D}}(z, K) =$

$s_{\mathcal{D}_z}(K)$ where $s_{\mathcal{D}_z}$ is the dessert selection associated to the fragmentation $\mathcal{D}_z$ and given by Theorem 16. We need the following important theorem of Dodos.

Theorem 18. [12, Theorem 5.8] *Let E be a compact metrizable space and Z be a standard Borel space. Let $\mathcal{D} : Z\times E\times E \to E$ be a parametrized Borel fragmentation. Then the parametrized dessert selection $s_{\mathcal{D}} : Z \times K(E) \to E$ associated to $\mathcal{D}$ is Borel.*

For convenience, we restate Theorem 2.

Theorem 19. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ be a set of bounded operators whose adjoints have separable range which is analytic in the strong operator topology. Then there is a Banach space Z with a shrinking basis such that every $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through Z.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X, C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ be an analytic collection of operators whose adjoints have separable range. Using Proposition 3 the space of all operators whose adjoints have separable range is coanalytic. Therefore we may apply Lusin's theorem [22, Lemma 28.1] to find a Borel set $\mathcal{B}$ operators whose adjoints have separable range such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

The main step in the proof is to define a parametrized Borel fragmentation and use the associated parametrized dessert selection to pick a basis $(y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ such that $T \mapsto (y_n^T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel and the sequence (z_n^T) is shrinking. Once this is done we can apply Proposition 14 to finish the proof.

Define the map $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{B} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(T, \sigma, \tau) = \sup\{|d_n(E_T)(\sigma) - d_n(E_T)(\tau)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We claim that for each $T \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}(T, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a fragmentation. To see this, we will follow the argument in [17]. It will be convenient to define a new operator $T_0 : X \oplus_1 \ell_1^2 \to C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ by $T_0(x, a, b) = T(x) + ay_0 + bId$ for all $(x, a, b) \in X \oplus_1 \ell_1^2$, where Id denotes the identity function on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Note that T_0^* has separable range because T^* has separable range. As $Id \in T_0(B_{X\oplus_1\ell_1^2})$, the following defines a metric on $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$,

$$\Delta(\sigma, \tau) = \sup\{|f(\sigma) - f(\tau)| \,:\, f \in T_0(B_{X\oplus_1\ell_1^2})\} \quad \text{for all } \sigma, \tau \in C(2^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

As $E_T \subseteq \overline{T_0(B_{X\oplus_1\ell_1^2})}$, we have that if Δ is a fragmentation then $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}(T, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a fragmentation. For $\sigma \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, we denote $\delta_\sigma \in C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ to be point evaluation at σ. Thus, $\Delta(\sigma, \tau) = \|T_0^*(\delta_\sigma) - T_0^*(\delta_\tau)\|$. As T_0^* has separable range, the metric Δ will be separable on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have that the closed ε-ball about σ in the Δ metric is given by

$$B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon) := \{\tau \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : \Delta(\sigma, \tau) \leq \varepsilon\} = \cap_{f\in T_0(B_{X\oplus_1\ell_1^2})}\{\tau \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : |f(\sigma) - f(\tau)| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Thus, $B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon)$ is closed in the usual topology on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be closed. We let $A \subset K$ be a countable subset which is dense in the Δ metric. Thus,

$K \subseteq \cup_{\sigma \in A} B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon/2)$. By the Baire Category Theorem, there exists $\sigma \in A$ such that $B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon/2) \cap K$ is not relatively nowhere dense. Thus, there exists a non-empty open set $V \subseteq B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon/2) \cap K$, as $B_\Delta(\sigma, \varepsilon/2) \cap K$ is closed. We have that $K \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup\{\Delta(x, y) : x, y \in K \cap V\} \leqslant \varepsilon$. Thus, Δ is a fragmentation.

Invoking the Borelness of the maps $(d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the map $T \to E_T$, we have that $\mathcal{D}$ is a parametrized Borel fragmentation according to Definition 17. By Theorem 18 there is a Borel map $s : \mathcal{B} \times K(2^\mathbb{N}) \to 2^\mathbb{N}$ such that $s_T : K(2^\mathbb{N}) \to 2^\mathbb{N}$ defined by $s(T, K) = s_T(K)$ is a dessert selection associated to the fragmentation $\mathcal{D}_T$. We will use s_T to select a basis for $C(2^\mathbb{N})$.

Define a sequence $(t_n^T)_{n=0}^\infty$ in $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ as follows: Let $t_0^T = \emptyset$. Let $\phi : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ denote the unique bijection satisfying $\phi(s) < \phi(t)$ if either $|s| < |t|$, or $|s| = |t|$ and $s <_{\text{lex}} t$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t = \phi^{-1}(n-1)$. By Theorem 16 there is a unique $i_t \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $t^\frown i_t \prec s_T(V_t)$, where $V_s := \{\sigma \in 2^\mathbb{N} : s \prec \sigma\}$ for $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Set

$$t_n^T = t^\frown j \text{ where } j = i_t + 1 \pmod 2 \text{ and } e_n^T = \chi_{V_{t_n^T}}. \tag{1}$$

As in (see [17] and [12, Claim 5.13 pg. 79]) $(e_n^T)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a normalized monotone basis of $C(2^\mathbb{N})$. In order to apply Proposition 14, we need the following claim.

Claim 20. *The map* $\mathcal{B} \ni T \mapsto (e_n^T)_{n=0}^\infty \in C(2^\mathbb{N})^\mathbb{N}$ *is Borel.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the map $T \mapsto e_n^T$ is (call it ψ) Borel. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $n = 0$ let $t = \emptyset$; otherwise, let $t = \phi^{-1}(n-1)$. Let

$$B_0 = \{T \in \mathcal{B} : t^\frown 1 \prec s(T, V_t)\} \text{ and } B_1 = \mathcal{B} \setminus B_0.$$

Let

$$f_t : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \times K(2^\mathbb{N}) \text{ be defined by } f_t(T) = (T, V_t).$$

Then B_0 and B_1 are Borel since $B_0 = f_t^{-1}(s^{-1}(V_{t^\frown 1}))$ and $B_1 = f_t^{-1}(s^{-1}(V_{t^\frown 0}))$. By definition

$$\psi(T) = e_n^T = \begin{cases} \chi_{V_{t^\frown 0}} & T \in B_0 \\ \chi_{V_{t^\frown 1}} & T \in B_1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\psi^{-1}(\chi_{V_{t^\frown 0}}) = B_0$ and $\psi^{-1}(\chi_{V_{t^\frown 1}}) = B_1$, our claim is proved. □

In [17, Theorem III.1, page 503] or [12, page 80] they prove $(z_n^T)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a shrinking basis for Z_T. Invoking Proposition 14, the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Now assume that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, C(2^\mathbb{N}))$ is an analytic collection of weakly compact operators. This proof follows the same outline as the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, it is enough to show that Z_T is reflexive. Note that we already know (z_n^T) is a shrinking basis for Z_T.

By Theorem 9(c) it is enough to show that W_T is weakly compact. This is proved in [12, Lemma 5.18]. Let $T_2 : Z_T \to C(2^\mathbb{N})$, be as in Theorem 9(b). Set $K = T_2^{-1}(E_T)$ (note that T_2^{-1} is well defined on E_T). Since E_T is weakly compact, K is a weakly compact subset of Z_T. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Q_k : Z_T \to \operatorname{span}\{z_n^T : n \leqslant k\}$

be the natural projection. Since $(z_n^T)_{n=1}^\infty$ is shrinking we may use [11, Lemma 2] (also see [12, Lemma B.10]) to conclude that

$$K' = K \cup \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Q_k(K)$$

is weakly compact. Note that $T_2(K')$ is also weakly compact and

$$T_2(K') = E_T \cup \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} T_2(Q_k(K)) = E_T \cup \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} P_k(E_T) = \overline{\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} P_k(E_T)} = W_T.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 21. *Suppose Z is a complemented subspace of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ be an analytic collection of weakly compact operators (resp. a collection of operators whose adjoints have separable range). Then there is a reflexive space (resp. space with separable dual) $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that each $T \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

4. ANALYTIC COLLECTIONS OF SPACES

In this section we present generalizations of Theorems 1 and 2. Our goal is to uniformly factor sets of operators of the form $T : X \to Y$, where X and Y are allowed to vary. Our previous results relied on the fact that both the set of separable Banach spaces and the set of bounded operators between two fixed separable Banach spaces can be naturally considered as standard Borel spaces. However, the set of operators between separable Banach spaces which are allowed to vary is not immediately realized as a standard Borel space. To get around this, we will code operators using sequences.

Let $X, Y \in SB$ and define $\mathcal{C}_{X,Y} \subset C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(w_k)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}_{X,Y} \iff & w_k \in Y, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\ (\forall n, m, l \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall q, r \in \mathbb{Q} \\ & d_n(X) = qd_m(X) + pd_l(X) \implies w_n = qw_m + pw_l) \text{ and} \\ & (\exists K \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall (a_i)_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{<\mathbb{N}}\ \|\sum_i a_i w_i\| \leqslant K\|\sum_i a_i d_i(X)\|).\end{aligned}$$

The map defined by $\mathcal{C}_{X,Y} \ni (w_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, where T is the unique operator $Td_n(X) := w_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an isomorphism. Define $\mathcal{L} \subset SB \times SB \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{L} \iff (w_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}_{X,Y}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is a Borel subset of $SB \times SB \times C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ and is thus a Standard Borel space.

Proposition 22. *The following subsets of $\mathcal{L}$ are coanalytic.*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} = \{(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{L} : & \text{ the operator } T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \text{ defined by} \\ & Td_k(X) = w_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ is weakly compact}\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{SR} = \{(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{L} : & \text{ the adjoint of the operator } T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \text{ defined by} \\ & Td_k(X) = w_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ has separable range}\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In [6] it is proved that an operator $T : X \to Y$ is weakly compact if for every bounded sequence (x_n) in B_X the image (Tx_n) does not dominate the summing basis of c_0. Let $[\mathbb{N}]$ denote the set of all infinite increasing sequences in $\mathbb{N}$. This gives us the following characterization of $\mathcal{W}$

$$(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{W} \iff \forall (k_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in [\mathbb{N}],\ \forall n \in\ \exists (a_i) \in \mathbb{Q}^{<\mathbb{N}},$$
$$\|\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} a_i w_{k_i}\| < \frac{1}{n} \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \left|\sum_{i\geqslant k} a_i\right|.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{W}$ is coanalytic.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{SR}$ is coanalytic. The proof follows the proof of Proposition 3 after making the following changes to accomodate the triples $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{SR}$. Let

$$f_{(X,Y,(w_k)),y^*} = \left(\frac{y^*(w_n)}{\|d_n(X)\|}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in B_{\ell_\infty}.$$

and

$$K_{(X,Y,(w_k))} = \{f_{(X,Y,(w_k)),y^*} : y^* \in B_{Y^*}\}.$$

Finally, define $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L} \times B_{\ell_\infty}$ by

$$((X, Y, (w_k)), f) \in \mathcal{D} \iff f \in K_{(X,Y,(w_k))}.$$

As before, $\mathcal{D}$ is Borel and the map $\Phi : \mathcal{L} \to K(B_{\ell_\infty})$ defined by $\Phi((X, Y, (w_k)) = K_{(X,Y,(w_k))}$ is Borel with

$$(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{SR} \iff \Phi((X, Y, (w_k))) = \Sigma.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{SR}$ is coanalytic. □

Notation 2. In this new setting we make set the following notation. Note that in most cases we are simply replacing T by $(X, Y, (w_k))$.

(a) Let $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{L}$. Denote by $(y_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a basis of Y that depends on $(X, Y, (w_k))$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k^{(X,Y,(w_k))} : Y \to [y_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))} : n \leqslant k]$ be the natural projection.

(b) Let $y_0^{(X,Y,(w_k))} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} y_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))}$ and

$$E_{(X,Y,(w_k))} := \overline{co(\{w_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \cup \{y_0^{(X,Y,(w_k))}\})}.$$

(c) Define

$$W_{(X,Y,(w_k))} = \overline{\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} P_k^{(X,Y,(w_k))}(E_{(X,Y,(w_k))})}.$$

The set $W_{(X,Y,(w_k))}$ is closed, bounded, convex and symmetric. Also, $P_k^{(X,Y,(w_k))}(W_{(X,Y,(w_k))}) \subset W_{(X,Y,(w_k))}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(d) Let

$$Z_{(X,Y,(w_k))} = \{z \in Y : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|z\|^2_{W^m_{(X,Y,(w_k))}} < \infty\}$$

$$\|z\|_{(X,Y,(w_k))} = \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|z\|^2_{W^m_{(X,Y,(w_k))}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The next lemma, which we state without proof, are analogous Lemmas 11 and 13.

Lemma 23. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be Borel and suppose the map $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto (y_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in C(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel. Then the following hold:*

(a) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto y_0^{(X,Y,(w_k))} \in C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ is Borel.*
(b) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto E_{(X,Y,(w_k))} \in F(C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is Borel.*
(c) *The map $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto W_{(X,Y,(w_k))} \in F(C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is Borel. Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto W^m_{(X,Y,(w_k))} \in F(C(2^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is Borel.*
(d) *The map $\mathcal{B} \times Y \ni ((X, Y, (w_k)), y) \mapsto \|y\|_{W^m_{(X,Y,(w_k))}}$ is Borel.*

Lemma 24. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be Borel and $\mathcal{B} \ni (X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto (y_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Borel map. The set*

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{((X, Y, (w_k)), E) \in \mathcal{B} \times SB : E \textit{ is isometric to } Z_{(X,Y,(w_k))}\}.$$

is analytic in $\mathcal{L} \times SB$.

We can now state and prove our main theorem of this section.

Theorem 25. *Set*

$$\mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})} = \{(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{W} : Y \textit{ is isomorphic to } C(2^{\mathbb{N}})\}$$

$$\mathcal{SR}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})} = \{(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{SR} : Y \textit{ is isomorphic to } C(2^{\mathbb{N}})\}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an analytic subset of $\mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ (resp. $\mathcal{SR}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$). Then there is a separable reflexive Banach space with a basis (resp. space with a shrinking basis) Z such that for each $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{A}$ the operator T defined by $Td_n(X) = w_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, factors through Z.

Proof. We will sketch the proof for $\mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$, the proof in the case of $\mathcal{SR}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ is analogous. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ be analytic. Proposition 22 and Lusin's theorem [22, Lemma 18.1] together tell us that $\mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ is coanalytic and that there is a Borel subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. The goal is is apply Lemma 24. Following along the same route we tracked out in the proof of Theorem 2 we can find for each $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ a basis $(e_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ such that the map $(X, Y, (w_k)) \mapsto (e_n^{(X,Y,(w_k))})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel, as desired by Lemma 24. Again, using the same argument, we claim that for $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{W}_{C(2^{\mathbb{N}})}$ the space $Z_{(X,Y,(w_k))}$ (defined above) is reflexive with a basis. Applying Lemma 24 yields that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z \in \mathcal{B} : \exists (X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{B}, Z_{(X,Y,(w_k))} = Z\}.$$

is analytic. Therefore, using the same procedure as in the proof of Proposition 14 we obtain a reflexive space $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that every operator T coded by a triple $(X, Y, (w_k)) \in \mathcal{B}$ factors through $Z_{\mathcal{B}}$. $\square$

5. Applications

In this section we provide several consequences of our uniform factorization results. In [6] several examples are given of Banach spaces X and Y such that the space of weakly compact operators from X to Y is coanalytic but not analytic. For example, let U be the separable Banach space of Pełczyński which contains complemented copies of every Banach space with a basis. It is shown in [6] that the set of weakly compact operators on U is coanalytic but not Borel. In terms of factorization, we have the following.

Proposition 26. *There does not exist a separable reflexive space Z such that every weakly compact operator from U to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ factors through Z. In particular, the set of weakly compact operators from U to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ is not analytic.*

Proof. Let ξ be a countable ordinal and let X_ξ be the Tsirelson space of order ξ. For our purposes we just need that X_ξ is a reflexive Banach space with a basis and has Szlenk index $\omega^{\xi\omega}$ [28]. We consider X_ξ as a complemented subspace of U and let $P_\xi : U \to X_\xi$ be a bounded projection from U onto X_ξ. Let $i_\xi : X_\xi \to C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ be an embedding of X_ξ. The operator i_ξ is weakly compact as X_ξ is reflexive, and hence the operator $T_\xi := i_\xi \circ P_\xi$ is weakly compact. If there was a Banach space Z with separable dual such that for all countable ordinals ξ the operator T_ξ factored through Z, then, since i_ξ is an isometry, Z would contain an isomorphic copy of X_ξ for all countable ordinals ξ. This would imply that the Szlenk index of Z is uncountable which contradicts that Z has separable dual [29]. $\square$

Proposition 27. *There exists a Banach space Y with a shrinking basis such that there does not exist a separable reflexive Banach space Z so that every weakly compact operator on Y factors through Z. In particular, the set of weakly compact operators on Y is not analytic.*

Proof. Consider the collection $\mathcal{A}_{\omega^\omega}$ of all separable Banach spaces with shrinking bases and Szlenk index less than or equal to ω^ω. It is shown in [7] that $\mathcal{A}_{\omega^\omega}$ is an analytic subset of SB. Using Theorem 6 there is a Banach space Y with a shrinking basis such that for each X in $\mathcal{A}_{\omega^\omega}$ there is a complemented subspace of Y isomorphic to X. Now let ξ be a countable ordinal and let X_ξ be the Tsirelson space of order ξ. For this proof, we just need that X_ξ is a reflexive Banach space with a basis and, Szlenk index $\omega^{\xi\omega}$ and that X_ξ^* has Szlenk index at most ω^ω [28].

Thus X_ξ^* is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y. We consider X_ξ^* as a complemented subspace of Y and let $P_\xi : Y \to X_\xi^*$ be a bounded projection from Y onto X_ξ^*. Let $i_\xi : X_\xi^* \to Y$ be the identity on X_ξ^*. The operator i_ξ is weakly compact as X_ξ is reflexive, and hence the operator $T_\xi := i_\xi \circ P_\xi$ is weakly compact. If there was a reflexive Banach space Z such that for all countable ordinals ξ the operator T_ξ factored through Z, then, since i_ξ is an isometry, Z would contain an isomorphic copy of X_ξ^* for all countable ordinals ξ. Thus, X_ξ would be a quotient of Z^* for all countable ordinals ξ. This would imply that the Szlenk index of Z^* is uncountable which contradicts that Z is reflexive [29]. □

In contrast to the negative results of Proposition 26 and Proposition 27, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 28. *Let X be a Banach space with a shrinking basis such that X^{**} is separable. The set of weakly compact operators on X is a Borel subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. In particular, there exists a reflexive Banach space Z such that every weakly compact operator on X factors through Z.*

Proof. Let $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a shrinking basis for X with biorthogonal functionals $(x_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty$, and let $D \subset X^{**}$ be dense. We denote the set of weakly compact operators on X by $\mathcal{W}(X)$. By Gantmacher's Theorem, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is weakly compact if and only if $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subseteq X$. In particular,

$$T \in \mathcal{W}(X) \Leftrightarrow T^{**}f \in X \, \forall f \in D. \tag{2}$$

Since $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a w^*−basis for X^{**},

$$f = w^* - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*(f) x_i \qquad \text{for all } f \in X^{**}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, we have for all $f \in X^{**}$ that

$$T^{**}f \in X \Leftrightarrow T^{**}f = \|\cdot\| - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*(T^{**}f)x_k \Leftrightarrow \lim_{M\to\infty} \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \sum_{k=M}^N x_k^*(T^{**}f)x_k \right\| = 0 \tag{4}$$

Note that $T^{**}(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since T^{**} is w^* to w^* continuous,

$$T^{**}f = w^* - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*(f) T(x_i) \qquad \text{for all } f \in X^{**}.$$

Hence, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$x_k^*(T^{**}f)x_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_k^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*(f) T(x_i) \right) x_k.$$

Substituting into (4) gives,

$$T^{**}f \in X \Leftrightarrow \lim_{M\to\infty} \lim_{N\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| \sum_{k=M}^N x_k^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*(f) T(x_i) \right) x_k \right\| = 0$$

Thus, $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is Borel by 2.

$\square$

Let J be the quasi-reflexive space of James [19]. Laustsen [24] proved the following result by constructing the required space. As J has a shrinking basis and J^{**} is separable, we obtain it as a corollary of Theorem 28.

Proposition 29. *There is a reflexive space Z such that every weakly compact operator on J factors through Z.*

In [25], Lindenstrauss showed that for each separable Banach space X there is a separable Banach space Y such that Y^{**}/Y is isomorphic to X. In particular, the space Y has separable bidual. Therefore, Theorem 28 yields that whenever Y has a basis, every weakly compact operator on Y factors through a single reflexive space.

Proposition 30. *Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces. Then every closed norm-separable set S of weakly compact operators is Borel in the strong operator topology.*

Proof. Let $(T_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of S and $(d_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be dense in B_X. Then

$$T \in S \iff \forall m \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall j \in \mathbb{N},\ \|(T - T_k)d_j\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

From this characterization it follows that S is Borel. $\square$

One corollary of Proposition 30 is that if X^* or Y has the approximation property, then the set of compact operator from X to Y is Borel. In [20] Johnson proved that there is a space Z_K such that every operator which is the uniform limit of finite rank operators (independent of the spaces X and Y) factors through Z_K. In particular this implies that whenever either X^* or Y has the approximation property every compact operator from X to Y factors through Z_K. Johnson and Szankowski [21] proved that there is no separable Banach space such that every compact operator factors through it. The following result follows from Proposition 30 and Theorem 1 and is a weaker version of Johnson's Theorem.

Corollary 31. *If Y is Banach space with a shrinking basis or is isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ then there exists a reflexive space Z such that if X is a separable Banach space with the approximation property then every compact operator from X to Y factors through Z.*

Proof. Let Y either be a Banach space with a shrinking basis or be isomorphic to $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$. By Proposition 30 and Theorem 1, there exists a reflexive Banach space Z such that every compact operator from U to Y factors through Z. If X is a separable Banach space with the approximation property then X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of U. Every compact operator from X to Y has a compact factorization through U and hence factors through Z as well. $\square$

Proposition 32. *There exists a separable hereditarily indecomposable Banach space X, with HI dual and non-separable bidual, and a reflexive Banach space Z such that every weakly compact operator on X factors through Z.*

Proof. In [1] the authors construct an HI space X with a shrinking basis such that X^* is HI and X^{**} is non-separable and on which every operator is a scalar multiple of the identity plus a weakly compact operator. Once again it suffices to show that the set of weakly compact operators on X is Borel. In [1] they prove that each weakly compact operator on X is strictly singular. It is shown in [4] that when the strictly singular operators have codimension-one in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ they are a Borel subset. It follows that the set of weakly compact operators on X is a Borel subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Hence, we may apply Theorem 1. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] S. A. Argyros, A. D. Arvanitakis, and A. G. Tolias. Saturated extensions, the attractors method and hereditarily James tree spaces. In *Methods in Banach space theory*, volume 337 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–90. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[2] S. A. Argyros and P. Dodos. Genericity and amalgamation of classes of Banach spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 209(2):666–748, 2007.

[3] R. Aron, M. Lindström, W. M. Ruess, and R. Ryan. Uniform factorization for compact sets of operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(4):1119–1125, 1999.

[4] K. Beanland. An ordinal indexing on the space of strictly singular operators. *Israel J. Math.*, 182:47–59, 2011.

[5] K. Beanland and P. Dodos. On strictly singular operators between separable Banach spaces. *Mathematika*, 56(2):285–304, 2010.

[6] K. Beanland and D. Freeman. Ordinal ranks on weakly compact and Rosenthal operators. *Extracta Math.*, 26(2):173–194, 2011.

[7] B. Bossard. *Théorie descriptive des ensembles en géométrie des espaces de Banach*. PhD thesis, 1994.

[8] B. Bossard. A coding of separable Banach spaces. Analytic and coanalytic families of Banach spaces. *Fund. Math.*, 172(2):117–152, 2002.

[9] J. Bourgain. On separable Banach spaces, universal for all separable reflexive spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79(2):241–246, 1980.

[10] P. A. H. Brooker. Asplund operators and the Szlenk index. *J.Operator Theory*, 68:405–442, 2012.

[11] W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson, and A. Pełczyński. Factoring weakly compact operators. *J. Functional Analysis*, 17:311–327, 1974.

[12] P. Dodos. *Banach spaces and descriptive set theory: selected topics*, volume 1993 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[13] P. Dodos. Quotients of banach spaces and surjectively universal spaces. *Studia Math.*, 197:171–194, 2010.

[14] P. Dodos and V. Ferenczi. Some strongly bounded classes of Banach spaces. *Fund. Math.*, 193(2):171–179, 2007.

[15] T. Figiel. Factorization of compact operators and applications to the approximation problem. *Studia Math.*, 45:191–210. (errata insert), 1973.

[16] D. Freeman, E. Odell, T. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsák. Banach spaces of bounded Szlenk index. II. *Fund. Math.*, 205(2):161–177, 2009.

[17] N. Ghoussoub, B. Maurey, and W. Schachermayer. Slicings, selections and their applications. *Canad. J. Math.*, 44(3):483–504, 1992.

[18] M. González and J. M. Gutiérrez. Factoring compact sets of operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 255(2):510–518, 2001.

[19] R. C. James. A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate space. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 37:174–177, 1951.

[20] W. B. Johnson. Factoring compact operators. *Israel J. Math.*, 9:337–345, 1971.

[21] W. B. Johnson and A. Szankowski. Complementably universal Banach spaces. II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(11):3395–3408, 2009.

[22] A. S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[23] K. Kuratowski and C. Ryll-Nardzewski. A general theorem on selectors. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, 13:397–403, 1965.

[24] N. J. Laustsen. Maximal ideals in the algebra of operators on certain Banach spaces. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 45(3):523–546, 2002.

[25] J. Lindenstrauss. On James's paper "Separable conjugate spaces". *Israel J. Math.*, 9:279–284, 1971.

[26] K. Mikkor and E. Oja. Uniform factorization for compact sets of weakly compact operators. *Studia Math.*, 174(1):85–97, 2006.

[27] E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht. A universal reflexive space for the class of uniformly convex banach spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 335(4):901–916, 2006.

[28] E. Odell, T. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsák. Banach spaces of bounded Szlenk index. *Studia Math.*, 183(1):63–97, 2007.

[29] W. Szlenk. The non-existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces. *Studia Math.*, 30:53–61, 1968.

[30] M. Zippin. Banach spaces with separable duals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 310(1):371–379, 1988.

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23284.

E-mail address: `kbeanland@vcu.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Saint Louis University , St Louis, MO 63103 USA

E-mail address: `dfreema7@slu.edu`